

Myrmikan Research

November 13, 2020

Daniel Oliver
Myrmikan Capital, LLC
doliver@myrmikan.com
(646) 797-3134

The Die is Cast

The results of the recent election can best be described as leaning Biden but inconclusive. Right-wing sources have presented many statistical anomalies and hundreds of affidavits from poll watchers that call into question the integrity of the process. Mainstream media sources have either ignored the indications of fraud or have offered specious explanations.

The media has also colluded with the Biden team to promote the propaganda that Biden is the president-elect. He is not. He would become president-elect only if Trump were to concede or if the state electors were to vote him such on December 14.

The reason the media has pushed the Biden narrative so forcefully is to make it unpalatable for the Supreme Court to interfere with their power grab. The Left remembers that Chief Justice Roberts buckled under mainstream pressure to uphold the clearly unconstitutional ObamaCare legislation (the Commerce Clause allows Congress to “regulate Commerce ... among the several States,” not to force commerce into being).

Roberts, one may recall, originally found ObamaCare to be unconstitutional but changed his vote, worried that invalidating the law would invite the kind of political attack suffered by the court that halted (or at least delayed) the worst of FDR’s fascist New Deal programs. His primary motivation is institutional legitimacy (which today means abandoning jurisprudence to partner with the deep state). How could a court run by such a weak-willed chief justice stand up the deep state juggernaut pushing the Biden narrative? The answer may be Amy Coney Barrett, who adds a fifth conservative vote on the Supreme Court without Roberts, the reason Ginsburg’s death was such a disaster for the Left.

The first of the problems for Biden revolves around the judicial fraud perpetrated in Pennsylvania. The Republican legislators had passed a law that, according Justice Alito,

unambiguously required that all mailed ballots be received by 8 p.m. on election day. It also specified that if this provision was declared invalid, much of the rest of Act 77, including its liberalization of mail-in voting, would be void. In the face of Act 77’s deadline, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, by a vote of four to three, decreed that mailed ballots need not be received by election day...

NOTE: This material is for discussion purposes only. This is not an offer to buy or sell or subscribe or invest in securities. The information contained herein has been prepared for informational purposes using sources considered reliable and accurate, however, it is subject to change and we cannot guarantee the accurateness of the information.

The constitutionality of the State Supreme Court's decision before the election ... has national importance, and there is a strong likelihood that the State Supreme Court decision violates the Federal Constitution. The provisions of the Federal Constitution conferring on state legislatures, not state courts, the authority to make rules governing federal elections would be meaningless if a state court could override the rules adopted by the legislature simply by claiming that a state constitutional provision gave the courts the authority to make whatever rules it thought appropriate for the conduct of a fair election.

The Supreme Court's decision in *Bush v. Gore* makes the point more clearly: "The State legislature's power to select the manner for appointing electors is plenary; it may, if it so chooses, select the electors itself" even after an election may have occurred.

Alito ordered that ballots received after 8pm on election day be segregated because, as he wrote in the order, the State Supreme Court's ruling would likely be overturned. This week, Pennsylvania Secretary of State Boockvar announced that the number of these votes is only 10,000; but she will have to explain why it is that the official number of mail-in ballots increased dramatically after election day. Could it be that she is not counting ballots that arrived late but had back-dated postmarks, as alleged by U.S. Postal Service whistleblowers? In Delaware County alone, for example, the officially reported number of mail-in ballots rose by 14,000 over the four days following the election. Biden's official lead today stands at only 44,738. It is more than likely that these soon-to-be-rejected votes (being mail-in ballots) overwhelmingly favor Biden.

Even if the number of rejected votes falls short of flipping Pennsylvania to Trump, the Trump campaign has filed other lawsuits in Pennsylvania. One suit alleges that the counties failed to comply with an emergency court order requiring them to allow observers when mail-in ballots were counted, especially with regards to the 682,479 mail-in and absentee ballots in Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties. In addition, the lawsuit charges that mail-in ballots in Democrat-leaning districts were cured of deficiencies, whereas ballots in Republican districts were not. Secretary Boockvar, in fact, emailed counties the day before the election that they "should provide information to party and candidate representatives during the pre-canvass that identifies the voters whose ballots have been rejected" so that those voters "may be issued a provisional ballot," also in direct violation of Pennsylvania's Election Code.

More chilling are the eyewitness accounts contained in Trump's legal complaint:

A mail carrier for the USPS in Erie County has noted that during the course of the General Election mail-in ballot delivery period there were multiple instances in which dozens of mail-in ballots were addressed to single addresses, each ballot being in a different name. Based on the carrier's experience delivering mail to those addresses, the carrier is aware that the people whose names were on the ballots are not names of people who live at those addresses. In addition, ballots were mailed to vacant homes, vacation homes, empty lots, and to addresses that do not exist.

And:

Voters report that even though they never applied for mail-in ballots, they did receive mail in ballots, and when they attempted to vote in person were told that they had voted by mail.

NOTE: This material is for discussion purposes only. This is not an offer to buy or sell or subscribe or invest in securities. The information contained herein has been prepared for informational purposes using sources considered reliable and accurate, however, it is subject to change and we cannot guarantee the accurateness of the information.

And:

The observer witnessed one election worker responsible for resolving over-voted and under-voted ballots by subjectively determining who the elector intended to choose on the empty votes. The observer reports that in numerous instances the election worker altered the over-voted ballot by changing votes that had been marked for Donald J. Trump to another candidate.

There are hundreds more such allegations.

With the vote count so close, with the Secretary of State having flagrantly violated the voting rules as specified by the legislature, with the Supreme Court already having signaled is it likely to overturn the state Supreme Court, it is journalistic malpractice to call Pennsylvania for Biden, though it works fine for propaganda purposes.

With a Trump victory declared in North Carolina, if he flips Pennsylvania he will have 249 electoral votes. He would then need two of Wisconsin, Michigan, Arizona, and Georgia (if Georgia, then gaining just Nevada would also grant him reelection).

In Arizona, Biden currently leads by 11,635 votes, or 0.35% (notwithstanding that Fox News called the state for Biden early on election night). Wisconsin has Biden up by 20,559, or 0.63%. Georgia has Biden up by 12,338, or 0.25%. All three states have remaining ballots and are subject to lawsuits and recounts. Michigan is not as close, with Biden up 148,635, or 2.7%.

There are no constitutional issues at present in these states, so Trump must find specific improprieties with the votes to have them rejected. It may not be that difficult. In Michigan, for example, GOP poll watchers have signed affidavits saying they were prevented from going into the counting room because poll workers said the hall was full. They sneaked in with a group of union members being admitted (even though the hall was supposedly full). The counting then slowed to a crawl, and what counting continued was fraudulent. One testified:

What many poll challengers were actually seeing was that when the ballots were scanned and it did not match a voter in the electronic poll book and it did not match a voter in the supplemental paper poll book—which was provided due to Michigan’s law that allows for same-day registration—they were still allowing those ballots to go through and be counted, and that is when the GOP poll challengers were issuing those challenges. They were either being ignored or kicked out.

GOP watchers also observed stacks of ballots being fed through the machines multiple times. GOP watchers who left for any reason were not allowed to reenter, whereas Democrat observers were. GOP watchers were also ejected for minor infractions (such as adjusting their masks), and the poll workers cheered each time.

The Trump campaign has filed a lawsuit in Michigan alleging the above armed with over a hundred affidavits. The lawsuit notes further that ballots were deposited in remote, unattended drop boxes, which violated Michigan law that such drop boxes “must use video monitoring of that drop box to ensure effective monitoring.”

A similar story played out in urban districts in Georgia, where GOP Chairman David Shafer has stated: “No one disputes that Fulton County elections officials falsely

announced that the counting of ballots would stop at 10:30 p.m. No one disputes that Fulton County elected officials unlawfully resumed the counting of ballots after our observers left the center.”

For Wisconsin, state law stipulates “if a certificate is missing the address of a witness, the ballot may not be counted,” but GOP observers have charged that when the addresses of witnesses were missing, clerks simply looked the addresses up and filled the information in themselves. As with Pennsylvania, the Wisconsin Elections Commission issued guidance that said clerks are allowed to correct addresses if they could find the information, but this is directly contrary to what the state legislature had directed and is, therefore, has a “strong likelihood” of being unconstitutional.

Reports of the dead voters also keep increasing. Tucker Carlson reported on his show, for example: “Mr. Blalock was a mailman for 33 years, until he passed away in 2006. Fourteen years later, according to state records, he was still mailing things. James Blalock cast a ballot in last week’s election. How did he do that? ... Maybe James Blalock was just one of those extraordinary mail carriers: neither rain, nor snow, nor gloom of night—nor even death itself—could keep him from exercising his sacred franchise.”

Not just the dead, the near-dead also decided to turn out en masse. In Pennsylvania, more nonagenarians registered to vote in 2020 than in the four previous years combined. Bewildered children of the residents of a nursing home in South Philadelphia, for example, discovered their parents, many with dementia, decided to vote in the election. Records show that applications for thirty-four residents from this home who registered had similar handwriting and were time-stamped within minutes of one another.

The recounts that will be had in Wisconsin and Georgia may well flip these states. Media tells us that recounts do very little to change voting totals. But they also told us that Trump was behind by double-digits in states that are currently a draw (and assured us every day for two years that Trump would resign because he colluded with Russia). There are normally not so many mail-in ballots, which present the most problems, and normally no widespread reports of fraud. And the recounts give the Trump team more time to gather hard evidence of fraud, which could sway the Republican state legislatures, who have the ultimate power.


It also turns out the voting machines used in many of the battleground states have a feature called the “weighted vote feature,” whereby the machines can give different weights to votes for different candidates. One wonders why this feature even exists, and one would hope and expect that this feature was turned off. The data suggests it wasn’t.

MIT PhD Shiva Ayyadurai has looked at each precinct in four large Michigan districts. The data examines straight party votes versus individual votes for Trump or Biden. In Wayne County, which is very Democrat-heavy, Trump earned a higher percent of votes among those voting for individual candidates than the Republican Party earned in the straight party voting—in general. These were Democrat shy-Trump voters. Importantly, plotting the extent to which Trump individually over or underperformed the Republican slate for each of these precincts shows no pattern: the distribution of shy-Trump voters by precinct was random.


A plot of the precincts in Oakland, Macomb, and Kent Counties, however shows that the greater the percentage of Republican slate votes in each precinct, the more

Trump as a specific choice underperformed Republican slate. Unlike in Wayne county, this relationship is not random but shows up as a near perfect linear function. If we are to believe that the data is not manipulated, we have to believe not that some Republicans did not like Trump, but that the more Republican a precinct the greater the *percentage* of those Republicans voted against Trump—as a *linear function*. The more likely explanation is that certain districts had the weighted vote feature enabled.

A separate data analysis has examined the running total of Biden votes versus Trump votes over time in the different states. At first the plots are scattered because the in-person votes were counted first and the data reflects a jumble of Republican and Democrat-leaning counties reporting at various times. But then the lines smooth as the mail-in ballots are counted: these ballots presumably were scrambled by the U.S. postal system, so the percentage of Trump ballots versus Biden ballots should be constant over time as they are counted. Below are two samples, and most of the states look similar:



But now look at four battleground states:



The battleground graphs look completely different. Why would Biden votes, on average, be counted later? Unless they were manufactured to produce a certain outcome.

Americans who trust and assume that elections in this great country of ours could never been influenced by widespread fraud may well dismiss the hundreds of affidavits by GOP watches alleging improprieties as partisan posturing. The media has, of course, waded through the more than 11,000 reports of impropriety to find the craziest ones in order to insinuate that the others are just as crazy. Reports that more than 10,000 of the dead cast ballots in Michigan has been refuted, for example, by demonstrated that a few on the list are still alive.

The public may also ignore statistical analyses of fraud as being too complex or open to variant interpretations. When we see, however, the *combination* that the statistical anomalies match the of anecdotal reports of fraud contained in hundreds of sworn affidavits, the presumption of fraud becomes impossible to ignore.

Internet sleuths unearthed 2004 Congressional testimony by the State Department offering reasons why a recent Ukrainian election was likely fraudulent, including: “Illegal Use of Absentee Ballots,” “Opposition Observers Ejected,” “North Korean-Style Turnout in the East,” “Mobile Ballot [Drop] Box Fraud,” “Computer Data Allegedly Altered To Favor Yanukovich.” Check, check, check, check, and check.

The import of all this is that, first, Trump still has a path (however tenuous) to reelection, if he can discover concrete evidence of fraud: circumstantial evidence will not be sufficient. Imagine the rage on the Left if Trump were to defeat the narrative and retain power. Second, the extent of fraud alleged by credible sources means that most Trump voters will never accept a Biden victory as legitimate. Whatever happens, the divisions between Left and Right, urban and country, will grow wider.

Myrmikan was convinced that Trump would win the 2016 election for reasons discussed in its November 2016 letter. The American form of government was modeled on the Roman Republic, and America is facing decline in the same manner as did Rome:

the *Popularis* and the *Optimates*, the Democrats and Republicans of their day, battled over who could extract more wealth from their positions of power, which generally involved alliances with the large landowners, crony capitalists. The plebeians, crushed under the weight of debt and graft, yearned for a champion. They got it in the emperors.

The emperors drew their power from the little people and were thus hated by the intelligentsia, the reason the famous historians made them out to be crass, obscene, belligerent, and insane, more or less the attitude of the press towards Donald Trump. Even if these exaggerated characterizations contained some truth (for commoners like to think of the emperor as one of their own), it didn't matter: it turned out that monopoly in graft is self-limiting, since it is in the interest of the single emperor to keep the host alive and strong; whereas competitive plundering focuses on extracting as much as possible before the host dies.

Biden *is* the swamp. What is really at stake in this election is not “the children” or mother Earth, or societal acceptance of transgenderism, but who will occupy the

roughly five thousand government jobs that lead to multi-million dollar consulting contracts (and qualify for direct payments from Russia and China and Ukraine).

If Biden triumphs, as he likely will given the difficulty Trump will have convincing the courts to remedy the fraud, it will be the revenge of the oligarchs. After major power struggles in ancient Rome, victors created “proscription” lists of enemies. Those on the list had their property confiscated and were executed. True to form, Democrats are calling for the prosecution of Trump, as if there were any crimes that would not have been uncovered by Mueller’s fishing expedition. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez has sponsored the creation of the “Trump Accountability Project” to record the name of “every Administration staffer, campaign staffer, bundler, lawyer who represented them—everyone” in order to “make sure anyone who took a paycheck to help Trump undermine America is held responsible for what they did.”

Jennifer Rubin, columnist for the *Washington Post*, added: anyone “now promoting rejection of an election or calling to not to follow [sic] the will of voters or making baseless allegations of fraud should never serve in office, join a corporate board, find a faculty position or be accepted into ‘polite’ society. We have a list.” Jones Day, One of Trump’s lawfirms has already withdrawn its representation because of the public pressure.

Look also for the IRS to be re-weaponized under Biden/Harris, as it was under Obama, and for neocon and Clinton partisans to resume their posts in the spy agencies, which staged the soft coup against Trump during his entire administration and kept the U.S. engaged in constant low-level warfare.

Trump is not going away. If they attack him successfully they will turn him into a martyr and who knows what kind of character will replace him (probably even less socially palatable). If Trump survives, he will spend the next four years creating a right-wing media empire that will give a coherent voice to the “deplorables,” as Hillary Clinton named them, and then run again in 2024.

Biden (or more likely a President Harris given Biden’s frailty), meanwhile, will have grasped a poisoned chalice. The credit bubble Bernanke arranged following the 2008 housing crash was already collapsing when the Wuhan/CCP virus arrived: the Fed had been propping up the repo market since September 16, 2019. As discussed in previous letters, the Fed is now sponsoring currency debasement (as opposed to credit inflation) to fund the state’s attempt to violate Says Law by guaranteeing people no change in their consumption even as it prevents them from being productive.

A Biden win and Republican Senate may have been the most bearish outcome because Republicans become fiscally conservative when they are in the minority, potentially limiting the coming stimulus packages. But the Fed’s efforts at social engineering through the printing press would expand under Biden/Harris.

The seven-person Federal Reserve board currently has one Obama appointee, two vacancies, plus Vice Chairman Richard Clarida’s term ends in 2022, and Powell’s term as chairman expires in 2022 (his term as governor extends to 2028, but he would be unlikely to remain on the board if his chairmanship were not renewed).

Biden/Harris would begin its administration controlling three of seven Fed board seats, and by 2022 that number would expand to five. With more stimulus on

the way, more federal spending on left-wing projects, more money printing, perhaps experiments with MMT and monetary policy based on non-patriarchal math and social justice, Americans under a President Harris may finally learn what it is like to live without the benefit of having the world's reserve currency.

If Democrats capture both Senate seats during the runoffs in Georgia, and Biden is certified president-elect, it puts the Argentina scenario back in play, as discussed in Myrmikan's previous letter. As New York's Schumer threatened: "Now we take Georgia, then we change the world." And then gold will be easily be above \$10,000/oz, perhaps \$100,000/oz.

However, Republican senators may finally confirm Trump's two nominees to the Federal Reserve (Judy Shelton and Christopher Waller), which would stymie (though perhaps not prevent) Biden/Harris access to the printing press. Biden seems to be proposing a strict lock-down this winter, and a reticent Fed would make the economic consequences catastrophic.

Assuming also that the Democrats do not capture both Senate seats, they will not be able to add the four additional left-wing senators, end the filibuster, or pack the Supreme Court (or the Fed). They would, therefore, be exposed to full voter fury in 2022. Moreover, the 2020 census redistricting will make it difficult for Democrats to retain the House: Texas is set to add 3 seats, Florida 2, and North Carolina 1; New York will be losing 2, and California 1. These changes will also boost Trump's chances to recapture the presidency in 2024.

Much like the Wuhan/CCP virus itself, the collapse of a credit bubble cannot kill a nation; but the reaction to a collapse can. The Duke Saint-Simon noted at the end of the Mississippi Bubble: "Never before had sovereign power been so violently exercised, never had it attacked in such a manner the temporal interests of the community." The termination of a credit cycle, in fact, always sees state power at its zenith as government and banking mandarins seek to protect their unnatural privileges. It would be scary to have the deep state in full power when the global credit bubble finally pops. We should expect more Internet censorship, a digital currency to monitor and control transactions, price controls, criminalization of free expression, increased racial balkanization. Let us hope that a frontal attack on gold is deemed too dangerous, that they maintain the Keynesian stance that gold is simply one more commodity, and a useless one at that.

But Trump may still prevail. And if not, let us hope that a win in Georgia allows a red wave in 2022 and 2024 that overwhelms the opportunities for voter fraud and roots out the deep state.



MYRMIKAN CAPITAL LLC

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

The material contained herein is for discussion purposes only and is not an offer to buy or sell securities. It has been prepared using sources considered reliable and accurate, however, it is subject to change and the accurateness of the material cannot be guaranteed.